UDC 33.05:338

The Impact of Digital Transformation on Inter-Firm Innovation Cooperation Models

Song Ge

Postgraduate student,
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia named after Patrice Lumumba,
117198, 10, Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow, Russian Federation;
E-mail: info@rudn.ru

Abstract

Digital transformation is fundamentally reshaping inter-firm innovation cooperation models, transitioning traditional hierarchical frameworks toward decentralized, platform driven ecosystems. This study analyzes how technologies such as blockchain, AI, and IoT reduce transaction costs and information asymmetries, enabling agile alliances, decentralized networks, and open innovation ecosystems. These models prioritize scalability, real time coordination, and data fluidity but introduce systemic risks, including asymmetric data ownership, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and platform dependency. The research synthesizes transaction cost economics and network theory to explain the symbiosis between digital capabilities and collaborative structures, emphasizing governance challenges in balancing openness with control. Practical insights highlight the need for adaptive regulatory frameworks to harmonize cross border data governance and mitigate power imbalances. Policy recommendations stress interoperable cybersecurity standards and strategic autonomy in critical technologies, while firms must cultivate modular architectures and hybrid governance to navigate digital interdependence. The findings underscore the urgency of aligning technological scalability with institutional resilience to foster equitable innovation ecosystems in a digitized global economy.

For citation

Song Ge (2025) The Impact of Digital Transformation on Inter-Firm Innovation Cooperation Models. *Ekonomika: vchera, segodnya, zavtra* [Economics: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow], 15 (3A), pp. 90-97.

Keywords

Digital Transformation; Inter Firm Innovation Cooperation; Blockchain Technology; Innovation Ecosystems; Transaction Cost Economics.

Introduction

The global economy is undergoing a profound restructuring driven by the pervasive integration of digital technologies into organizational and industrial frameworks. Digital transformation, characterized by the adoption of artificial intelligence, blockchain, the Internet of Things, and big data analytics, has emerged as a critical catalyst for redefining competitive dynamics and operational paradigms. This shift transcends mere technological adoption, engendering systemic changes in how firms conceptualize, initiate, and sustain collaborative innovation efforts.[Хаманн-Ломер, Бендиг, Лаш, 2023] Within this context, inter firm innovation cooperation a cornerstone of modern value creation faces unprecedented opportunities and disruptions. The interplay between digital infrastructure and collaborative innovation models remains inadequately theorized, particularly regarding how emerging technologies reconfigure the structural and functional dimensions of partnerships across organizational boundaries.

Current scholarship predominantly examines digital transformation through isolated lenses, such as efficiency gains or firm level agility, while neglecting its systemic implications for collaborative innovation ecosystems. A critical gap persists in understanding whether traditional models of inter-firm cooperation rooted in contractual alliances or hierarchical R&D consortia an adapt to the fluidity and scalability demands of digital platforms. This oversight limits the ability of policymakers and corporate strategists to harness digitalization's full potential for fostering sustainable, inclusive innovation networks. The present study addresses this gap by interrogating the evolutionary trajectory of inter-firm collaboration mechanisms under digital transformation, with a focus on their structural adaptability and functional reconfiguration.

The objective of this analysis is to establish a theoretical linkage between digital transformation drivers and the emergence of novel cooperation models, emphasizing their economic logic and governance implications. By synthesizing transaction cost economics with network theory, the study posits that digital technologies reduce information asymmetries and transaction costs, thereby enabling decentralized, agile, and platform-centric collaboration frameworks. These models challenge conventional notions of ownership and control, prioritizing data fluidity, real time coordination, and ecosystem driven value co-creation.

The theoretical significance lies in advancing a unified framework to explain the symbiosis between digital capabilities and collaborative innovation structures. Practically, the findings offer actionable insights for firms navigating digital interdependence, particularly in balancing proprietary control with open innovation imperatives. For policymakers, the study underscores the urgency of updating regulatory regimes to address cross border data governance, intellectual property fragmentation, and power asymmetries in platform dominated ecosystems. By elucidating these dynamics, the analysis contributes to a more nuanced understanding of digital transformation's role in shaping the future of industrial innovation, with implications for both emerging and mature economies adapting to technological disruption.

Materials and Methods

The methodological foundation of this study is based on a conceptual and comparative analysis of emerging inter-firm cooperation models in the context of digital transformation. The research employs a qualitative, theory-driven approach, synthesizing elements of transaction cost economics and network theory to interpret the structural and functional reconfiguration of innovation alliances in the digital

era.

The empirical material includes analytical insights and case examples from existing scholarly literature, regulatory reports, and industry case studies that reflect the application of blockchain, AI, and IoT technologies in innovation ecosystems. Secondary sources were selected from peer-reviewed journals and expert publications between 2019 and 2024, with a focus on inter-organizational collaboration, platform economy, and digital governance.

Analytical emphasis was placed on identifying patterns of decentralization, agile alliances, and open innovation frameworks, as well as assessing the risks associated with asymmetric data ownership, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and platform dependency. The study applied comparative scenario analysis to differentiate traditional hierarchical R&D models from emerging digital-native cooperation formats.

Results and discussion

Digital transformation represents a structural shift in organizational operations, driven by the integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, blockchain, and big data analytics. These technologies collectively redefine value creation processes by enabling real time data exchange, decentralized decision making, and automated workflows. Unlike incremental technological upgrades, digital transformation fundamentally alters the architecture of inter firm relationships, necessitating a re-examination of traditional collaboration paradigms.[Парамеша, Ране, Ране, 2024] Inter firm innovation cooperation models, historically anchored in formal contractual alliances or hierarchical joint R&D agreements, are increasingly supplanted by dynamic, ecosystem based interactions. These ecosystems thrive on platform-mediated networks where participants spanning competitors, suppliers, and customers co create value through shared digital infrastructures.

Theoretical grounding for this transformation is rooted in transaction cost theory, which traditionally explains firm boundaries based on cost minimization in governance. In digital contexts, however, the theory acquires new dimensions. Digital technologies reduce transaction costs by mitigating information asymmetries through transparent data sharing, automating contract enforcement via smart contracts, and lowering coordination barriers through cloud based collaboration tools. This cost reduction enables firms to engage in looser, more flexible cooperation structures without the rigidity of traditional hierarchies. Concurrently, network theory elucidates how digital platforms amplify connectivity, fostering innovation ecosystems where trust is algorithmically enforced rather than institutionally mandated. The convergence of these theories underscores a paradigm shift: from static, bilateral partnerships to adaptive, multi actor networks governed by digital intermediation.[Вахаб, Ван, Шоджаеи и др., 2023] Such frameworks challenge conventional notions of ownership and control, prioritizing scalability and resilience in innovation driven markets. This reconceptualization provides a robust lens to analyze emergent cooperation models, bridging theoretical rigor with the operational realities of digital-industrial convergence.

The digital transformation of industrial systems has catalyzed the emergence of novel inter-firm innovation cooperation models, fundamentally altering how organizations interact, share resources, and co create value. Among these models, decentralized innovation networks represent a paradigm shift enabled by blockchain technology. By embedding trust through immutable ledgers and smart contracts, blockchain eliminates the need for centralized intermediaries, allowing firms to collaborate across borders with reduced reliance on institutional oversight. This trustless collaboration framework facilitates secure intellectual property sharing and real-time accountability, fostering innovation

networks where participants ranging from startups to multinational corporations engage in peer to peer knowledge exchange. Such networks thrive on modularity, enabling firms to contribute niche expertise while maintaining operational autonomy, thereby scaling innovation efforts without traditional hierarchical constraints.Parallel to decentralization, agile innovation alliances are redefining temporal and structural norms of collaboration.[Захария, Плаш, Мохан и др., 2019] Digital tools such as cloud based project management platforms and AI driven analytics compress timelines for partner identification, resource allocation, and decision making. These alliances prioritize short-term, goal oriented projects, often formed dynamically in response to market disruptions or technological breakthroughs. Unlike rigid joint ventures, agile partnerships leverage digital interoperability to synchronize workflows across organizational boundaries, enabling rapid prototyping and iterative development. This model capitalizes on the granularity of digital data, allowing firms to quantify risks and rewards at micro-levels, thus mitigating long-term commitment while maximizing flexibility. However, its effectiveness hinges on standardized digital interfaces and shared governance protocols to prevent fragmentation.

A third transformative model is the open innovation ecosystem, which transcends firm-centric collaboration by integrating external stakeholders customers, academia, and even competitors into the innovation process. Crowdsourcing platforms and digital co creation tools democratize idea generation, transforming passive users into active contributors. These ecosystems exploit network effects, where value amplifies as participant diversity increases, driven by interoperable Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) and data-sharing agreements. Crucially, ecosystems challenge conventional intellectual property regimes, as innovations emerge from collective inputs rather than proprietary R&D.[Кан, Пак, 2012] This shift necessitates adaptive governance frameworks to balance open participation with value capture, particularly in industries where data sovereignty and competitive advantage are contested.

Collectively, these models underscore a transition from closed, bilateral cooperation to open, polycentric networks governed by digital infrastructure. They reflect the dual imperatives of modern innovation: leveraging technological scalability while managing the tensions between collaboration and competition. The viability of these models depends on institutional readiness to adopt interoperable standards, resolve jurisdictional ambiguities in digital governance, and cultivate digital literacy across organizational hierarchies.

The proliferation of digital driven inter-firm cooperation models introduces systemic risks that threaten the stability and equity of innovation ecosystems. A primary concern lies in the asymmetric distribution of data ownership rights, which often exacerbates intellectual property conflicts. In collaborative networks, firms contribute heterogeneous levels of data resources, yet legal frameworks struggle to delineate ownership in scenarios where datasets are aggregated, anonymized, or algorithmically refined. This ambiguity creates power imbalances, as entities with superior data harvesting capabilities or advanced analytics infrastructure disproportionately capture value, marginalizing smaller participants.[Панфилова, 2022] The lack of standardized protocols for data sovereignty allocation further complicates disputes over derivative innovations, particularly when blockchain-based smart contracts or AI generated solutions inherit inputs from multiple stakeholders. Such conflicts undermine trust in collaborative models, deterring long term participation and stifling the open exchange of knowledge essential for breakthrough innovation.

Cybersecurity vulnerabilities present another critical challenge, amplified by the interconnected nature of shared digital infrastructures. Cross organizational platforms, while enabling real time collaboration, expand attack surfaces for malicious actors. Breaches in one node can cascade across

entire networks, compromising proprietary research, sensitive operational data, and consumer privacy. The technical complexity of securing decentralized systems such as blockchain networks or IoT enabled supply chains demands unprecedented coordination in threat detection and response mechanisms.[Адомака, Нгуен, 2024] However, divergent cybersecurity standards among participating firms, coupled with jurisdictional fragmentation in regulatory enforcement, hinder the implementation of unified defense strategies. This misalignment not only elevates operational risks but also erodes institutional confidence in digital collaboration tools, particularly in industries handling critical technologies or sensitive data.A more insidious risk stems from the growing dominance of platform intermediaries that orchestrate digital ecosystems. While platforms reduce transaction costs and enhance connectivity, their algorithmic governance models often consolidate decision making power within a few technology providers. This centralization creates dependencies, as firms cede control over data flows, pricing mechanisms, and access to innovation pipelines. Over time, platform owners may exploit their gatekeeper position to impose extractive fees, manipulate competitive dynamics, or prioritize partners aligned with their strategic interests. Such power asymmetries distort market incentives, disadvantaging smaller players and stifling diversity in innovation inputs. The problem is compounded by the lack of transnational regulatory oversight, allowing platforms to operate under heterogeneous legal regimes that evade accountability.

These challenges collectively highlight the tension between the efficiency gains of digital collaboration and the need for equitable, secure governance structures. Addressing them requires rethinking legal paradigms for data ownership, investing in interoperable cybersecurity frameworks, and designing antitrust mechanisms tailored to digital platform economies. The sustainability of inter firm innovation models hinges on balancing technological scalability with institutional safeguards that preserve fairness and resilience in an increasingly digitized industrial landscape.

The systemic integration of digital transformation into inter-firm innovation cooperation necessitates coordinated policy interventions and strategic recalibrations at the organizational level. Policymakers must prioritize the development of robust regulatory frameworks that address the dual imperatives of fostering cross border collaboration and safeguarding data sovereignty. Existing legal regimes, often fragmented across jurisdictions, inadequately govern transnational data flows or resolve conflicts arising from multi-stakeholder innovation processes.[Брунетти, Матт, Бонфанти и др., 2020] A harmonized approach to data governance is critical, balancing open access for collaborative R&D with protections against monopolistic data hoarding. This could involve standardized protocols for data ownership attribution in aggregated datasets, coupled with multilateral agreements to streamline intellectual property rights in co-created innovations. For nations like Russia, aligning domestic regulations with Eurasian Economic Union digital initiatives while preserving strategic autonomy in critical technologies will be pivotal. Simultaneously, cybersecurity mandates must evolve to enforce minimum security standards across shared digital infrastructures, incentivizing firms to adopt encryption and blockchain-based audit trails without stifling interoperability.[Ларионова, Шелепов, 2021]

At the organizational level, firms must transcend reactive digitization by cultivating endogenous digital capabilities rooted in adaptive governance. This entails investing in modular IT architectures that enable seamless integration with external platforms while maintaining data integrity. Leadership must institutionalize agile decision making processes to navigate rapidly shifting collaboration models, such as reconfiguring partnership terms in response to algorithmic market signals.[Бхаскаран, 2019] Equally critical is the development of hybrid governance structures that blend centralized oversight for risk mitigation with decentralized autonomy for innovation teams. Firms should also establish cross

functional digital literacy programs to bridge competency gaps between technical and strategic roles, ensuring cohesive implementation of open innovation strategies. Crucially, organizations must balance platform dependency by diversifying collaboration channels and negotiating data portability clauses with intermediaries. These measures collectively enhance resilience against power asymmetries while positioning firms to capitalize on ecosystem driven value creation, aligning operational agility with long-term strategic coherence in an increasingly digitized global economy.

Conclusion

The analysis reveals that digital transformation is reconfiguring the foundational principles of inter firm innovation cooperation, shifting paradigms from hierarchical control to decentralized, ecosystem driven collaboration. Central to this shift is the role of digital technologies in reducing transaction costs and enabling trustless interactions through blockchain, agile platforms, and open innovation ecosystems. These models prioritize scalability, real time coordination, and data fluidity, yet their adoption unveils systemic tensions between efficiency gains and governance risks. Asymmetric data ownership, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and platform dependency underscore the fragility of digital collaboration frameworks, necessitating institutional adaptations to mitigate power imbalances and fragmentation.

The study's theoretical contribution lies in synthesizing transaction cost economics and network theory to explain how digital intermediation redefines firm boundaries and value creation logics. Practically, it highlights the urgency of rebalancing open innovation incentives with safeguards for intellectual property integrity and equitable participation. For policymakers, the findings stress the need for harmonized data governance regimes that transcend national jurisdictions while respecting strategic autonomy in critical technologies. Firms, conversely, must cultivate adaptive governance structures to navigate the fluidity of digital alliances without compromising operational resilience.

Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies on the sustainability of digital collaboration models, particularly their capacity to withstand economic shocks or geopolitical disruptions. Further exploration is needed into hybrid governance mechanisms that reconcile algorithmic automation with human oversight, as well as the ethical implications of AI driven innovation networks. Additionally, comparative analyses of regional digitalization strategies such as Russia's integration of Eurasian digital initiatives with domestic industrial policies could yield insights into context specific scalability challenges. By addressing these gaps, scholars and practitioners can advance frameworks that align technological potential with socio economic stability, ensuring that digital transformation fosters inclusive, resilient innovation ecosystems rather than exacerbating existing disparities.

References

1. Hamann-Lohmer J., Bendig M., Lasch R. Investigating the impact of digital transformation on relationship and collaboration dynamics in supply chains and manufacturing networks–A multi-case study // International Journal of Production Economics. – 2023. – Vol. 262. – Pp. 108.
2. Paramesha M., Rane N. L., Rane J. Big data analytics, artificial intelligence, machine learning, internet of things, and blockchain for enhanced business intelligence // Partners Universal Multidisciplinary Research Journal. – 2024. – Vol. 1, № 2. – Pp. 110–133.
3. Wahab A., Wang J., Shojaei A., et al. A model-based smart contracts system via blockchain technology to reduce delays and conflicts in construction management processes // Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management. – 2023. – Vol. 30, № 10. – Pp. 5052–5072.
4. Zacharia Z., Plasch M., Mohan U., et al. The emerging role of coopetition within inter-firm relationships // The International Journal of Logistics Management. – 2019. – Vol. 30, № 2. – Pp. 414–437.

5. Kang K. N., Park H. Influence of government R&D support and inter-firm collaborations on innovation in Korean biotechnology SMEs // Technovation. – 2012. – Vol. 32, № 1. – Pp. 68–78.
6. Panfilova E.E. Formation of Ecosystems and Platforms in the Digital Economy // Moscow Economic Journal. – 2022. – №. 1. – Pp. 632–642.
7. Adomako S., Nguyen N. P. Digitalization, inter-organizational collaboration, and technology transfer // The Journal of Technology Transfer. – 2024. – Vol. 49, № 4. – Pp. 1176–1202.
8. Brunetti F., Matt D. T., Bonfanti A., et al. Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach // The TQM Journal. – 2020. – Vol. 32, № 4. – Pp. 697–724.
9. Larionova M., Shelepov A. Emerging regulation for the digital economy: challenges and opportunities for multilateral global governance // International Organisations Research Journal. – 2021. – Vol. 16, № 1. – Pp. 29–63.
10. Bhaskaran S. V. Enterprise data architectures into a unified and secure platform: Strategies for redundancy mitigation and optimized access governance // International Journal of Advanced Cybersecurity Systems, Technologies, and Applications. – 2019. – Vol. 3, № 10. – Pp. 1–15.

Влияние цифровой трансформации на модели межфирменного инновационного сотрудничества

Сун Гэ

Аспирант,
Российский университет дружбы народов им. Патриса Лумумбы,
117198, Российская Федерация, Москва, ул. Миклухо-Маклая, 10;
e-mail: qwsongge@gmail.com

Аннотация

Цифровая трансформация кардинально меняет модели межфирменного инновационного сотрудничества, меняя традиционные иерархические структуры на децентрализованные экосистемы, управляемые платформами. В данном исследовании анализируется, как такие технологии, как блокчейн, ИИ и IoT, снижают транзакционные издержки и информационную асимметрию, позволяя создавать гибкие альянсы, децентрализованные сети и открытые инновационные экосистемы. Эти модели ставят во главу угла масштабируемость, координацию в реальном времени и текучесть данных, но при этом создают системные риски, включая асимметричное владение данными, уязвимость кибербезопасности и зависимость от платформы. Исследование синтезирует экономику транзакционных издержек и теорию сетей для объяснения симбиоза между цифровыми возможностями и структурами сотрудничества, подчеркивая проблемы управления, связанные с балансом между открытостью и контролем. Практические выводы подчеркивают необходимость адаптивной нормативно-правовой базы для гармонизации управления трансграничными данными и смягчения дисбаланса сил. В политических рекомендациях подчеркивается важность совместимых стандартов кибербезопасности и стратегической автономии в области критически важных технологий, в то время как компании должны развивать модульные архитектуры и гибридное управление, чтобы ориентироваться в цифровой взаимозависимости. Полученные результаты подчеркивают настоятельную необходимость согласования технологической масштабируемости с институциональной устойчивостью для создания справедливых инновационных экосистем в условиях оцифрованной глобальной экономики.

Для цитирования в научных исследованиях

Сун Гэ. The Impact of Digital Transformation on Inter-Firm Innovation Cooperation Models // Экономика: вчера, сегодня, завтра. 2025. Том 15. № 3А. С. 90-97.

Ключевые слова

Цифровая Трансформация; Межфирменное Инновационное Сотрудничество; Технология Блокчейн; Инновационные Экосистемы; Экономика Транзакционных Издержек.

Библиография

1. Хаманн-Ломер Й., Бендиг М., Лаш Р. Исследование влияния цифровой трансформации на динамику взаимоотношений и сотрудничества в цепочках поставок и производственных сетях – многокейсное исследование // Международный журнал производственной экономики. – 2023. – Т. 262. – С. 108.
2. Парамеша М., Ране Н. Л., Ране Дж. Большие данные, искусственный интеллект, машинное обучение, интернет вещей и блокчейн для повышения эффективности бизнес-аналитики // Универсальный междисциплинарный исследовательский журнал Partners. – 2024. – Т. 1, № 2. – С. 110–133.
3. Вахаб А., Ван Цз., Шоджаеи А. и др. Система смарт-контрактов на основе моделей с использованием технологии блокчейн для снижения задержек и конфликтов в управлении строительными процессами // Инженерное, строительное и архитектурное управление. – 2023. – Т. 30, № 10. – С. 5052–5072.
4. Захария З., Плаш М., Мохан У. и др. Возрастающая роль коопетиции во взаимоотношениях между фирмами // Международный журнал логистического менеджмента. – 2019. – Т. 30, № 2. – С. 414–437.
5. Кан К.Н., Пак Х. Влияние государственной поддержки НИОКР и межфирменного сотрудничества на инновации в малых и средних биотехнологических предприятиях Южной Кореи // Техновейшн. – 2012. – Т. 32, № 1. – С. 68–78.
6. Панфилова Е.Е. Формирование экосистем и платформ в цифровой экономике // Московский экономический журнал. – 2022. – № 1. – С. 632–642.
7. Адомака С., Нгуен Н.П. Цифровизация, межорганизационное сотрудничество и трансфер технологий // Журнал трансфера технологий. – 2024. – Т. 49, № 4. – С. 1176–1202.
8. Брунетти Ф., Матт Д.Т., Бонфанти А. и др. Вызовы цифровой трансформации: стратегии, возникающие из подхода с участием заинтересованных сторон // Журнал TQM. – 2020. – Т. 32, № 4. – С. 697–724.
9. Ларионова М., Шелепов А. Новое регулирование цифровой экономики: вызовы и возможности для многостороннего глобального управления // Журнал исследований международных организаций. – 2021. – Т. 16, № 1. – С. 29–63.
10. Бхаскаран С.В. Объединённая и безопасная платформа корпоративной архитектуры данных: стратегии устранения избыточности и оптимизации управления доступом // Международный журнал современных систем кибербезопасности, технологий и приложений. – 2019. – Т. 3, № 10. – С. 1–15.